

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/064,925	NAGAI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jaydi A. Aguirrech a	2834	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Final Rejection

 (1) Jaydi A. Aguirrechea.

 (3) Ernest Beutler (Reg. No. 19901).

 (2) Karl Tamai.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 October 2003
Time: 9:00am
Type of Interview:

- ☐ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☒ Personal (Copy given to: ☒ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Final Rejection - 103 AAPA in view of Swanke et al. (US 3829720)

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Swanke et al. (US 3829720)
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicant argues the insulator must be between the windings and the laminated core. The examiner believes the limitation is shown in the drawings Figure 2.
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner Signature)


 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)